UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Minnesota

United States of America	
V.)
Wandulate Ladalla Datature	Case No: 08-cr-344(03)
Kendrick Ledelle Dotstry) USM No: 09196-041
Date of Original Judgment:	10/08/2009)
Date of Previous Amended Judgment:) Pro se
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)	Defendant's Attorney
ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION	
PURSUA	ANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of impusubsequently been lowered and made retroact § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	ne Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. prisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has ive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	
□ GRANTED and th	ne defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
the last judgment issued) Of	months is reduced to
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDE Previous Offense Level:	ELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures) Amended Total Offense Level:
Criminal History Category:	Criminal History Category:
Previous Guideline Range:	Amended Guideline Range:
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AME	ENDED GUIDELINE RANGE
\square The reduced sentenced is within the amended	ed guideline range.
II. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
5G1.1(b) ("Where a statutorily required minim range, the statutorily required minimum senter reduction under the Fair Sentencing Act becaus 556 F.3d 672, 673 (8th Cir. 2009) ("A district	nonths was set by the applicable mandatory minimum. See U.S.S.G. § num sentence is greater than the maximum of the applicable guideline nce shall be the guideline sentence."). Defendant is not eligible a sentence use his Guideline range remains 120 months. See United States v. Baylor, court does not have the authority to grant a § 3582(c)(2) sentencing int does not [reduce] the defendant's applicable Guidelines range.").
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions o	f the judgment dated 10/08/2009 shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED. Order Date: 2 · 22 -	12 MMJ, D Judge's signature
Effective Date:	Chief Judge Michael J. Davis, U.S. District Court

Printed name and title

(if different from order date)